

Duty of disclosure and due care

Trine-Lise Wilhelmsen

Outline

- o Introduction
- o The relationship with ICA
- o Duty of disclosure
- o Alteration of risk
- o Safety regulation
- o Casualties caused by the assured
- o Notification and salvage measures
- o Identification

1. Introduction

- o Subjective (duty) vs objective (scope) rules
- o The conflict of interest
- o Two different kinds of duties
- o The sanctioning system

Scope vs Duties

- o Scope:
 - o Definition of perils insured
 - o Definition of insured event - casualty
- o Duties
 - o Connecting sanctions to acts or omissions
 - o Focus on
 - o Degree of fault/negligence
 - o Burden of proof in regard to causation
 - o Sanctioning system

The conflict of interest




The insurer wants:

Full information to calculate premium

Control of risk

The shipowner wants:

Low premium
Full financial security in case of casualty
Regardless of negligence



20.02.2012

Marine insurance


5

Two different kind of duties



During negotiations

Duty of disclosure



During the insurance period

Risk connected:

Alteration of risk

Duty connected:

Safety regulation

Causation of loss

Notification/salvage



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

6

Sanction Provision	Not binding	No liability	Cancellation
Duty of disclosure	Fraud Negligence/int If not acceptable	Negligence/ Intent if acceptable	Fraud Negligence Good faith
Alteration of risk		Caused/agreed/ Not notified, +/- causation	Caused/agreed Not notified
Safety regulation		If negligence and causation	Not in compliance .. Material rule
Causation of loss	Fraud (no claim)	Gross negligence	Intent (all policies) Gross negligence

7

2 The relationship with ICA

- o NMIP:
 - o Departs from ICA 1989
 - o Follows previous NMIP/ICA 1930
 - o But:
 - o Warranty approach (classification)
 - o Concept of unseaworthiness deleted (2007)

3. Duty of disclosure

- o The extent of the duty of disclosure
- o The time of the duty of disclosure
- o Who has the duty of disclosure
- o The sanctioning system

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

9

3.1 The extent of the duty of disclosure

- o NMIP § 3-1: All relevant information
 - o = Active duty of disclosure
 - o ≠ Passive duty of disclosure
 - o The difference
 - o The concept of relevant information

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

10

3.2 The time of the duty of disclosure

- o NMIP § 3-1
- o Concerns
 - o Time of the factual circumstances
 - o Time of good faith
- o Factual circumstances: When the contract is entered into
- o Good faith: Duty to make corrections

20.02.2012


Marine insurance

11

Time of the duty of disclosure

Factual circumstances

Good faith



When contract is entered

All the time

But: Only for factual circumstances at Contract point

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

12

3.3 Who has a duty of disclosure

- o Starting point:
 - o The person effecting the insurance, NMIP § 3-1,1
 - o No general duty for the assured
- o NMIP § 8-2: Special rule for the assured
- o Identification with agents/ brokers

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

13

3.4 The sanctioning system

- o Complicated system:
 - o Different sanctions:
 - o Contract not binding
 - o No liability for damage
 - o Cancellation
 - o Depending on the degree of fault:
 - o Fraud
 - o Negligence
 - o Innocent breach

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

14

3.4 The sanctioning system

- o Fraud; NMIP § 3-2.
 - o The contract is not binding
 - o Right to cancel all other contracts
- o Negligent or intentional breaches, § 3-3:
 - o Ins. would not have been accepted - not binding
 - o Otherwise:
 - o No liability if causation
 - o cancellation
- o Innocent breach, § 3-4
 - o Cancellation

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

15

3.4 Conditions to invoke sanctions

- o NMIP § 3-5
 - o No knowledge
 - o Decisive risk factor
- o NMIP § 3-6
 - o Notification

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

16

Duty of disclosure, question

When shipowner A effected hull insurance with AS Insurance he told the insurer that the ship Unlucky was registered in NIS, but not that Unlucky sailed with Cypriotic crew.

The insurer did not accept Cypriotic crew
Is this a breach of the duty of disclosure?

If yes: what would the sanction be?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

17

Duty of disclosure, question

Unlucky was on bare boat charter and the owner A - who effected the insurance - did not know about the nationality of the crew.

Would this be a breach of the duty of disclosure?

If yes: what sanction

What if the charterer was co-insured?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

18

4 Alteration of risk

- o Characteristic features
 - o Extension of duty of disclosure
 - o Correspond to "bristende forutsetninger"
- o Two sets of rules
 - o General regulation
 - o Special regulation

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

19

4.1 NMIP: general regulation

- o NMIP § 3-8 to § 3-13
- o The concept of alteration of risk, NMIP § 3-8, 1
- o Shall be deemed as alteration of risk, NMIP § 3-8, 2 - Change of
 - o State of registration
 - o Technical/maritime operator
 - o Classification society

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

20

4.1 NMIP: general regulation

- o Alteration of risk caused by the assured:
 - o No liability, § 3-9
 - o Cancellation, § 3-10
- o Alteration of risk not caused by the assured;
 - o Notification, § 3-11
 - o If not, § 3-9 applies
 - o + Cancellation, § 3-11

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

21

Alteration of risk, question

A vessel was insured registered in NIS but with Norwegian captain and first mate. This was informed to the insurer. During the insurance period the assured changed to Cypriotic captain and first mate.

Is this an alteration of risk?

If yes; what is the sanction?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

22

4.3 NMIP: The special regulation

- o Classification
- o Change of ownership
- o Illegal activities
- o Trading limits

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

23

4.3.1 Classification, NMIP § 3-14

- o Expressed as a warranty:
- o The ship shall be classified when the insurance commences
- o Loss of class = the insurance terminates regardless of
 - o causation
 - o fault
- o Similar regulation in many other countries

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

24

Question

- o The ship Classy was insured with AS No Way and classed in DNV. However, the owner requested the class to be cancelled in order to transfer the class til Lloyds. After cancellation, but before the new classification was final, Classy sustained a casualty.
- o Is No Way liable for the casualty?
- o The assured claimed that No Way knew of the transfer. Is this relevant?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

25

4.3.2 Change of ownership

- o NMIP § 3-21
- o The insurance terminates immediately
- o Reason: the importance of ownership
- o Change of ownership ≠ change of shareholder structure

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

26

4.3.3 Illegal activities

- o Starting point: No liability for losses caused by illegal activities unless the assured in good faith, NMIP § 3-16 , 1
- o If the ship is used primarily for illegal activities, the insurance terminates, § 3-16, 3.

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

27

Question

- o The crew onboard the ship New Venture smuggled opium from Malaysia to Norway without the assured's knowledge. During the voyage, New Venture grounded due to heavy weather.
- o Is the insurer liable for the damage?
- o Would it matter if the smuggling had taken place for a period of 1 year before the casualty?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

28

4.3.4 Trading limits

- o NMIP § 3-15;
 - o Ordinary areas,
 - o conditional areas and
 - o excluded areas
- o The areas defined in enclosure to the Plan

4.3.4 Trading limits


- o Conditional areas, § 3-15, 2;
 - o sailing subject to additional premium.
 - o Sanction extra deductible
- o Excluded areas, § 3-15, 3;
 - o Insurance ceases to be in effect until the ship leaves the excluded area

Sanction Rule	No liability	Termination	Suspension	Extra Premium	Cancel
Classification		No class Loss of class			
Trading Limits			Excluded Trading Area	Cond Trading Area	
Illegal Activities	Causation Negligence	Primarily Illegal Purposes			Assured Fail to intervene
Change of Owner		By sale or Any manner			

31

5. Safety regulation

- o Overview
- o The concept: What and by who
- o Sanctions
- o Ships laid up



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

32

5.1 Overview

- o Scandinavian invention
- o Not used in other systems
- o Anglo American parallel: warranties
- o Powerful weapon for insurer
- o The traditional system: seaworthiness and safety regulations as parallel rules

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

33

5.2 The concept, § 3-22

- o What: Measures for the prevention of loss
- o May be issued by
 - o public authorities,
 - o the insurer
 - o the classification society.
- o Includes
 - o periodic surveys
 - o Ice class regulation prescribed by the class

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

34

5.2 Sanction, § 3-25

- o Sanction 1; No liability § 3-25
 - o Fault, § 3-25, 1
 - o Causation, § 3-25,1
 - o Burden of proof shifted, § 3-25,1
 - o Breach of special safety regulation results in extended identification, NMIP § 3-25,2

5.3 Sanction, § 3-27

- o Sanction 2; Cancellation, § 3-27
- o 14 days notice
 - o (a): Not in compliance with a technical or operational safety regulation
 - o (b) safety regulation of material significance

Safety regulation, question 1

A vessel was captured by pirates 200 nautical miles outside the coast of Somalia. IMO has established guiding lines advising that all vessel sails more than 400 nautical miles off the coast of Somalia.

Is this a breach of a safety regulation?

If yes, what is the sanction?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

37

Safety regulation, question 2

A vessel grounded whilst sailing in shallow waters. It was established that the ISM certificate had been withdrawn because of failures in the safety management system for the ship.

Is this a breach of a safety regulation?

If yes, what is the sanction?

20.02.2012

Marine insurance


38

5.3 NMIP: Ships laid up

- o NMIP § 3-26: Laid up plan required
- o The rules on breach of safety regulation applies if:
 - o No lay up plan drawn up
 - o laid up plan not followed

6 Casualties caused by the assured

- o The concept: the casualty is caused by an act or omission by the assured
- o Distinction between degree of fault:
 - o Intent
 - o Gross negligence
 - o Ordinary negligence



6.1 Intent, § 3-32 and 3-34

- o The concept of "intent"
- o Sanction 1: No claim, NMIP § 3-32
- o Sanction 2:
 - o Termination of this contract without notice, § 3-34, 1.
 - o Termination of other contracts by giving 14 days notice, § 3-34, 2

6.2 Caused by the assured, NMIP

- o Gross negligence:
 - o Sanction 1; NMIP § 3-33:
0-100 % liability according to
 - o the degree of fault and
 - o other circumstances,
 - o Sanction 2; NMIP § 3-34, 1, 2:
Termination, 14 days notice
- o Ordinary negligence:
 - o No sanction

Casualties caused, question

A vessel was captured by pirates. The master had failed to follow Best Management Practises in regard to lookout before the attack and therefore was not able to take preventive measures or call for help.

Is this gross negligence?

If so - what is the sanction?


20.02.2012

Marine insurance

43

7 Notification and salvage measures

- o Duty to notify
- o Duty to salvage
- o Sanction



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

44

7.1 Duty to notify § 3-29

- o if loss has occurred or threatens to occur
- o without undue delay/promptly
- o keep informed
- o + notify of maritime inquiry/surveys

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

45

7.2 Duty to salvage, § 3-31

- o Reasonable measures to avert/minimize a loss
- o Follow insurer's instructions



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

46

7.3 The sanction, § 3-31

- o No liability
 - o if fault (intent or gross negligence) and
 - o only for losses that would otherwise not have been incurred



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

47

8 Identification, Overview


- o The issue of identification
- o The need for rules
- o The problems:
 - o the person effecting insurance and his helpers
 - o the assured and his helpers
 - o the assured and the person effecting the insurance
 - o the assured and co-insured/co-owner

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

48

Identification between person effecting the insurance and his helpers




20.02.2012

Marine insurance

49

IDENTIFICATION BETWEEN THE ASSURED AND HIS HELPERS




20.02.2012

Marine insurance

50

Identification between the assured and the person effecting the insurance




20.02.2012

Marine insurance

51

Identification between assured and co assured/co owner



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

52

8.1 Person effecting the insurance and his helpers


- o Full identification
- o No express provision in the conditions.
- o Follows from ordinary contract law

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

53

Identification between person effecting the insurance and his helpers



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

54

8.2 The assured and his helpers


- o Starting point, § 3-36, 2: Identification if helper is
 - o An individual/organization
 - o With authority delegated from the assured
 - o Concerning functions of material significance for the insurance
 - o And made a mistake under the performance of these functions
- o If the master/crew should be in the mentioned position, the same holds for these

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

55

BETWEEN THE ASSURED AND HIS HELPERS



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

56

8.2 Between the assured and his helpers


- o No identification in other circumstances
- o Includes master/crew in connection with their service as seamen, NMIP § 3-36, 1
- o However: Specific provisions, i.e. in NMIP § 3-25,2

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

57

THE ASSURED AND HIS HELPERS



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

58

8.3 The assured and the person effecting


- o NMIP § 3-38: Full identification
- o Holds also if the person effecting the insurance uses a helper;
- o i.e. Identification in two steps

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

59

the assured and the person effecting insurance




20.02.2012

Marine insurance

60

Assured/person effecting/ the broker



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

61

8.4 Assured + co-insured/co-owner


- o NMIP § 3-37:
 - o Starting point: No identification
 - o Exception if the co-insured/co-owner has overall decision authority for the operation of the ship

20.02.2012

Marine insurance

62

Assured and co assured/co owner




20.02.2012

Marine insurance

63

Assured and co assured/co owner



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

64

Thank you for your attention!



20.02.2012

Marine insurance

65